

MIAMI-DADE LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE (to the Year 2025)

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING NO. 8

Tuesday, June 12, 2001 2:00 P.M. Conference Room 12-1

PRELIMINARY AGENDA

- I. Approval of Meeting Summary
- II. Plan (System) Evaluation and Project Evaluation Methodologies
- III. Model Validation/E+C Simulation
- IV. Financial Resources
- V. Adjournment { Meeting No. 9 (Tuesday, July 10, 2001 (2PM) in Conference Room 12-1)

MIAMI-DADE LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE (to the Year 2025)

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING NO. 8 MEETING SUMMARY

Tuesday, June 12, 2001 2:00 P.M. Conference Room 12-1

Mike Moore called the meeting to order at 2:08 P.M. Committee members introduced themselves.

I. Approval of Meeting Summary

There were no comments on the Meeting Summary for Steering Committee Meeting No. 7. The Meeting Summary was redistributed to Committee members.

II. Plan (System) Evaluation and Project Evaluation Methodologies

At the last Steering Committee Meeting, each member was asked to weight the Goals and Objectives for the initial step in the Plan (System) Evaluation Process. Based on the Committee's input, the average weight for the Goals and Objectives was calculated and the results were presented to the Steering Committee. The average weights for the Goals and Objectives were summarized. Also, a summary of how members weighted the Goals and Objectives was distributed. No names were associated with the member's scores.

The project evaluation methodology used to prioritize individual projects was explained to the Committee. The Project Evaluation will be similar to the project evaluation methodology used for the 2015 Plan. Each project will be evaluated based on the six adopted Goals for this project. Steering Committee Members will be given the opportunity to evaluate each project, based on each Goal and a scale within a range of -10 to +10. Zero represents a neutral score, -10 represents the worst possible score, and +10 the best possible score.

The scores will then be evaluated two different ways. First, the weighted scores will be evaluated by a straight average and ranked in order from highest to lowest. The scores will also be evaluated by rank. The results of both of these methodologies will be presented to the Steering Committee. The Group Decision Support Software by Expert Choice, Inc. will be used to implement the methodologies.

→ It is recommended that a 1-2 day workshop be held with the Steering Committee to evaluate all the projects identified in the Needs List.

Page 1 of 1 07/02/01

® Steering Committee Members should review the handouts for this agenda item and present all comments and questions to Mike Moore by June 15, 2001.

III. Model Validation / E+C Simulation

The results of the model validation were presented to the TPC on June 11, 2001. All questions on the model from the last Steering Committee Meeting (May 22, 2001) were addressed at the Network Subcommittee Workshop on June 1, 2001.

The E+C Network consists of all projects that will be completed by 2005. The E+C Network includes a 4% increase in lane miles and a 6% increase in transit (bus) route miles over the Year 1999 conditions. The model was run using the E+C Network with the 2025 socioeconomic data including: 40% population, 30% employment growth, and 35% trip increase. Highway network volume/capacity maps depicting this result (E+C with 2025 socioeconomic data) and Year 1999 were presented and discussed. The volume/capacity is based on daily capacity and not peak period.

→ David Korros will check to see if FDOT has new HOV counts.

Projects that will alleviate the deficiencies in the E+C model run need to be identified to develop the Needs List. Projects may come from various sources including: the previous Plan, local agencies, and Steering Committee Members. The MPO Director will be sending out a memo to the TPC Directors to request their recommended projects.

The MPO and Consultant are currently finalizing the draft Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) that will be used for the Plan (System) Evaluation.

→ The Draft MOEs will be sent out to Steering Committee Members within 2 weeks. Members should review the MOEs and return their comments and questions to Mike Moore by the date identified in the e-mail transmittal. Comments on the MOEs will then be incorporated.

IV. Financial Resources

Public Financial Management presented the draft Financial Resources. Federal, State, and Local revenues were presented. The projected revenues were presented in 2000 dollars and therefore, deflated. Revenues from the Gas Tax were estimated based on population growth. Throughout the presentation on Financial Resources several comments and questions were discussed. PFM will address the

Page 2 of 2 07/02/01

following issues in a memo, which will be distributed to Steering Committee Members:

- Where are State FDOT funds for transit accounted for in the MDTA revenue projections? Currently, MDTA shows State funds as zero in their capital plan. Are the transit funds accounted for under the estimated operating revenues?
- Why are MDTA operating revenues listed as "Local Subsidies" declining over time? If these are mainly General Fund revenues, shouldn't they be keeping pace with inflation and at least remain constant when expressed in FY 2000 dollars?
- Remove, and do not account for, "Aviation" funds from the State FDOT Capacity Program revenues. Also, check to see if any of the local funds have been identified for airports. If so, they should also be removed.
- Is the appropriate population data being used when forecasting certain revenues (i.e. gasoline taxes)? Instead of using BEBR rates we should be using growth rates provided to us by the Planning Department.
- The analysis needs to describe what portion of MDX revenues are available for capital improvements and what portion is applied to operations and maintenance.
- Clarify that the FDOT Capacity Program revenue estimates pertain to and describe the Miami-Dade, District 6 portion, not the statewide totals.
- Prepare a chart or table comparing the current revenue estimates prepared for the FY 2025 LRTP to the estimates prepared for the FY 2020 LRTP update.
- Contact Dennis Newjar from the newly formed Regional
 Transportation Authority (RTA) to obtain general information
 regarding the RTA and determine if future plans regarding the
 RTA would impact future revenues and should be accounted for in
 the Financial Resources Review.
- ightarrow PFM will prepare a table, comparing the projected revenues from the 2020 Plan to the new projected revenues.
- → Steering Committee Members should review the Miami-Dade MPO, Long Range Transportation Plan Update to 2025: Financial Resources Review - - Draft distributed at the meeting and send all comments and questions to Mike Moore by June 15, 2001.

V. Adjournment

The Steering Committee Meeting (Meeting No. 9) is scheduled for Tuesday July 10, 2001. This will be an all day workshop. Steering Committee Members will be notified of the time and location. The meeting adjourned at 4:00 P.M.

Page 4 of 4 07/02/01